**RCGP Mersey Faculty Annual Awards**

**Judging Criteria & Scoring**

Awards scored a scale of 1 (poor) to 5 (excellent) for each category with a total maximum score of 20. Scoring notes are below. These are used as a guide and not as a strict script or checkbox.

**Judging Criteria and scores**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Category** | **Notes to consider** | **Score** |
| First impression | * Does the poster immediate grab your attention or do you find yourself having to search for pertinent information?
* Does the poster easily identify the topic without having to read too much?
* How are colour schemes used, are they easy on the eye?
* How crowded is the poster?
* Does the poster stimulate interest and discussion?
 | Score 1 (poor) to 5 (excellent) |
| Layout | * Is there a good flow of information?
* Is the content organised in a logical manner?
* How easy is it to follow the sequence of the poster?
* Does it concisely present the main points of poster?
 | Score 1 (poor) to 5 (excellent) |
| Readability | * Is font size/style easily readable?
* Is there too much/too little text?
* Is it easy to see pertinent information?
* Pictures, digital images and graphs are of a high quality (not pixilated); text and graphics are easy to read
* Are there many grammar or spelling mistakes?
* Has data been presented in an appropriate format using tables or graphs?
* Is the text clearly arranged so that the viewer can understand order without narration?
 | Score 1 (poor) to 5 (excellent) |
| Content | * Are the aims and objectives clear and concise?
* Does the work make note of references or source links?
* Is data/charts/stats presented in a way that seems accurate and logical and is easy to understand within the context of the topic? Are legends used?
* Is there sufficient information/explanation about the topic for the reader to make an informed opinion?
* Is there a clear conclusion and outcome?
 | Score 1 (poor) to 5 (excellent) |
| * **TOTAL SCORE**
 | **Score out of 20 =**  |