Definitions of MAP Scores

**Unacceptable** (major issues), for example
- Insufficient evidence provided or proforma not used.
- Material has been used elsewhere in the candidate’s submission or the material does not adhere to MAP timeframes.
- There may be serious clinical issues raised by assessor.
New material or evidence will be required for the section to be acceptable.

**Borderline** (minor issues)
- Fulfils most aspects of the criterion
- some deficiencies which could be addressed by the candidate with ‘minor’ alterations or explanations.
This is essentially clarification of the existing material or evidence.

**Satisfactory**
Fulfils all aspects of the criterion

**Good**
Fulfils all aspects of the criterion demonstrating a depth of understanding and performance.

**Resubmissions**

**B** – alterations, clarifications, or explanations needed

**U** – rewrite of criterion with new material needed

*Candidates are given three months to complete resubmissions for both minor and major resubmissions*

Confirmation of the deadline date by which material should be resubmit will be given by the MAP office in the Portfolio Marking Outcome email.